An enhanced analysis-by-synthesis waveform interpolative speech coder able to operate at 2.8 kbps. Novel features include dual-predictive analysis-by-synthesis quantization of the slowly-evolving waveform, efficient parametrization of the rapidly-evolving waveform magnitude, and analysis-by-synthesis vector quantization of the rapidly evolving waveform parameter. Subjective quality tests indicate that it exceeds G.723.1 at 5.3 kbps, and of G.723.1 at 6.3 kbps.

8 Claims, 6 Drawing Sheets
OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Oded Gottesman, “Dispersion Phase Vector Quantization for Enhancement of Waveform Interpolative Coder,” Signal Compression Laboratory, Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of California, Santa Barbara, California 93106, USA, pp. 1-4.

Oded Gottesman et al., “Enhanced Waveform Interpolative Coding at 4 KBPS,” Signal Compression Laboratory, Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of California, Santa Barbara, California 93106, USA, pp. 1-3.


Oded Gottesman et al., “Enhanced Analysis-By-Synthesis Waveform Interpolative Coding at 4 KBPS,” Signal Compression Laboratory, Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of California, Santa Barbara, California 93106, USA, pp. 1-4.


* cited by examiner
FIG. 1

FIG. 2
FIG. 5

SPECTRAL WEIGHTING

\[ \Psi(m) \]

REW POLYNOMIAL COEFFICIENTS \( \gamma(m) \)

GAIN \( g(m) \)

LPC \( a(m) \)

SYNTHESIS FILTER

\[ \frac{1}{1 - P_2} \]

VECTOR QUANTIZER CODEBOOK

\( \xi(m) \)

TEMPORAL/SPECTRAL WEIGHTING

\( ||\cdot||^2 \)

\( \min(\cdot) \)
FIG. 7

![Graph showing the relationship between weighted SNR (dB) and bits for Output Sew and Mean-Removed Sew.

FIG. 8

![Bar chart showing the output weighted SNR (dB) for Voiced, Intermediate, and Unvoiced categories across different harmonic ranges: 9-14, 15-19, 20-24, 25-29, 30-35, 36-69.]
FIG. 9

HARMONICS RANGE

MEAN-REMOVED WEIGHTED SNI

VOICED INTERMEDIATE UNVOICED

FIG. 10

VOICED RANGE

SEW PREDICTOR

REW PREDICATOR

INTERMEDIATE RANGE

REW PREDICATOR

SEW PREDICATOR

UNVOICED RANGE

REW PREDICATOR

SEW PREDICATOR
REWR PARAMETRIC VECTOR QUANTIZATION AND DUAL-PREDICTIVE SEW VECTOR QUANTIZATION FOR WAVEFORM INTERPOLATIVE CODING

CROSS REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATION

This application claims the benefit of Provisional Patent Application Ser. No. 60/190,371, filed Mar. 17, 2000 which application is herein incorporated by reference.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to vector quantization (VQ) in speech coding systems using waveform interpolation.

In recent years, there has been increasing interest in achieving toll-quality speech coding at rates of 4 kbps and below. Currently, there is an ongoing 4 kbps standardization effort conducted by an international standards body (The International Telecommunications Union-Telecommunication (ITU-T) Standardization Sector). The expanding variety of emerging applications for speech coding, such as third generation wireless networks and Low Earth Orbit (LEO) systems, is motivating increased research efforts. The speech quality provided by waveform coders such as code-excited linear prediction (CELP) coders degrades rapidly at rates below 5 kbps; see B. S. Atal, and M. R. Schroeder, (1984) “Stochastic Coding of Speech at Very Low Bit Rate”, Proc. Int Conf. Comm, Amsterdam, pp. 1610–1613.


SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention describes novel methods that enhance the performance of the WI coder, and allows for better coding efficiency improving on the above 1999 Gottesman and Gersho procedure. The present invention incorporates analysis-by-synthesis (AbS) for parameter estimation, offers higher temporal and spectral resolution for the REW, and more efficient quantization of the slowly-evolving waveform (SEW). In particular, the present invention proposes a novel efficient parametric representation of the REW magnitude, an efficient paradigm for AbS predictive VQ of the REW parameter sequence, and dual-predictive AbS quantization of the SEW.

More particularly, the invention provides a method for interpolative coding input signals, the signals decomposed into or composed of a slowly evolving waveform and a rapidly evolving waveform having a magnitude, the method incorporating at least one various, preferably combinations of the following steps or can include all of the steps:

(a) AbS VQ of the REW;
(b) parametrizing the magnitude of the REW;
(c) incorporating temporal weighting in the AbS VQ of the REW;
(d) incorporating spectral weighting in the AbS VQ of the REW;
(e) applying a filter to a vector quantizer codebook in the analysis-by-synthesis vector-quantization of the rapidly evolving waveform whereby to add self correlation to the codebook vectors; and
(f) using a coder in which a plurality of bits therein are allocated to the slowly evolving waveform magnitude.

In addition, one can combine AbS quantization of the slowly evolving waveform with any or all of the foregoing parameters.

The new method achieves a substantial reduction in the REW bit rate and the EWI achieves very close to toll quality, at least under clean speech conditions. And other such features, aspects, and advantages of the present invention will become better understood with regard to the following detailed description, appended claims, and accompanying drawings.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 is a REW Parametric Representation;
FIG. 2 is a REW Parametric VQ;
FIG. 3 is a REW Parametric Representation AbS VQ;
FIG. 4 is a REW Parametric Representation Simplified AbS VQ;
FIG. 5 is a Parametric Representation Simplified Weighted AbS VQ;
FIG. 6 is a block diagram of the Dual Predictive AbS SEW vector quantization;
FIG. 7 is a weighted Signal-to-Noise Ratio (SNR) for Dual Predictive AbS SEW VQ;
FIG. 8 is an output Weighted SNR for the 18 codebooks, 9-bit AbS SEW VQ;
FIG. 9 is a mean-removed SEW’s Weighted SNR for the 18 codebooks, 9-bit AbS SEW VQ; and
FIG. 10 are predictors for three REW parameter ranges.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

In very low bit rate WI coding, the relation between the SEW and the REW magnitudes was exploited by computing

Also, since the sequence of SEW magnitude evolves slowly, successive SEWs exhibit similarity, offering opportunities for redundancy removal. Additional forms of redundancy that may be exploited for coding efficiency are: (a) for a fixed SEW/REW decomposition filter, the mean magnitude increases with the pitch period and (b) the similarity between successive SEWs also increases with the pitch period. In this work we introduce a novel “dual-predictive” AbS paradigm for quantizing the SEW magnitude that optimally exploits the information about the current quantized REW, the past quantized SEW, and the pitch, in order to predict the current SEW.

Introduction to REW Quantization

The REW represents the rapidly changing unvoiced attribute of speech. Commonly in WI systems, the REW is quantized on a waveform by waveform base. Hence, for low rate WI systems having long frame size, and a large number of waveforms per frame, the relative bitrate required for the REW becomes significantly excessive. For example, consider a potential 2 kbps system which uses a 240 sample frame, 12 waveforms per frame, and which quantizes the SEW by alternating bit allocation of 3 bit and 1 bit per waveform. The REW bitrate is then 24 bit per frame, or 800 kbps which is 40% of the total bitrate. This example demonstrates the need for a more efficient REW quantization.

Efficient REW quantization can benefit from two observations: (1) the REW magnitude is typically an increasing function of the frequency, which suggests that an efficient parametric representation may be used; (2) one can observe a similarity between successive REW magnitude spectra, which may suggest a potential gain by employing predictive VQ on a group of adjacent REWs. The next two sections propose REW parametric representation, and its respective VQ.

REW Parametric Representation

Direct quantization of the REW magnitude is a variable dimension quantization problem, which may result in spending bits and computational effort on perceptually irrelevant information. A simple and practical way to obtain a reduced, and fixed, dimension representation of the REW is with a linear combination of basis functions, such as orthonormal polynomials; see W. B. Kleijn, Y. Shoham, D. Sen, and R. Haagen, (1996), IEEE ICASSP 96, pp. 212–215; Y. Shoham, (1997), IEEE ICASSP 97, pp. 1599–1602; Y. Shoham, (1999), International Journal of Speech Technology, Kluwer Academic Publishers, pp. 329–341. Such a representation usually produces a smoother REW magnitude, and improves the perceptual quality. Suppose the REW magnitude, \( R(\omega) \), is represented by a linear combination of orthonormal functions, \( \{q_j(\omega)\} \):
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which parametrically determines a vector of quantized spectra:

\[ R(\omega) = R(\omega, \hat{\omega}) = \left[ R(\omega, \hat{\omega}_1), R(\omega, \hat{\omega}_2), \ldots, R(\omega, \hat{\omega}_N) \right] \tag{6} \]

The encoder searches, in the parameter codebook \( C_q(\hat{\omega}) \), for the parameter vector which minimizes the distortion:

\[
\text{argmin}_{\hat{\omega}} \sum_{m=1}^{M} D(R_m, R(\omega, \hat{\omega})) 
\]

\[ = \text{argmin}_{\hat{\omega}} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \left| R_m - R(\omega, \hat{\omega}) \right|^2 d\omega 
\]

For example, suppose the input REW magnitude is represented by an \( I \)-th dimensional vector of function coefficients, \( \gamma \), given by:

\[ \gamma = [\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_I]^T \tag{8} \]

For a set of \( M \) input REWs, each is of which is represented by a vector of polynomial coefficients, \( \gamma_m \), which form a \( P \times M \) input coefficient matrix, \( \Gamma \):

\[ \Gamma = [\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_M] \tag{9} \]

The inverse VQ output is a vector of \( M \) quantized REWs, which form the quantized function coefficient matrix:

\[ \Gamma_q = [\gamma_q(1), \gamma_q(2), \ldots, \gamma_q(M)] \tag{10} \]

which is used by the decoder to compute the quantized spectra.

A. Quantization Using Orthonormal Functions


\[ R(\omega) = \sum_{k=1}^{M} \gamma_k \psi_k(\omega), \quad 0 \leq \omega \leq \pi \tag{11} \]

which is modeled using the parametric representation:

\[ \hat{R}(\omega, \xi) = \sum_{k=1}^{M} \hat{\xi}_k \psi_k(\omega), \quad 0 \leq \omega \leq \pi, \quad 0 \leq \xi \leq 1 \tag{12} \]

The quantized REW parameter is then given by:

\[ \hat{\xi} = \text{argmin}_{\xi} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \left| R_m - \hat{R}(\omega, \xi) \right|^2 d\omega \tag{13} \]

In VQ case, the quantized parameter vector is given by:

\[ \hat{\xi} = \text{argmin}_{\xi} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \left| R_m - \hat{R}(\omega, \xi) \right|^2 \tag{14} \]

B. Piecewise Linear Parametric Representation

In order to have a simple representation that is computationally efficient and avoids excessive memory requirements, we model the two dimensional surface by a piecewise linear parametric representation. Therefore, we introduce a set of \( N \) uniformly spaced spectra, \( \left\{ \hat{R}(\omega, \xi_{n}) \right\}_{n=1}^{N} \). Then the parametric surface is defined by linear interpolation according to:

\[ \hat{R}(\omega, \xi) = (1-a)\hat{R}(\omega, \xi_{n-1}) + a\hat{R}(\omega, \xi_n) \tag{15} \]

\[ \xi_{n-1} \leq \xi \leq \xi_n; \quad a = \frac{\xi - \xi_{n-1}}{\Delta}, \quad \Delta = \xi_n - \xi_{n-1} \]

Because this representation is linear, the coefficients of \( \hat{R}(\omega, \xi) \) are linear combinations of the coefficients of \( \hat{R}(\omega, \xi_{n-1}) \) and \( \hat{R}(\omega, \xi_n) \). Hence,

\[ \hat{\gamma}(\omega) = (1-a)\hat{\gamma}_{n-1} + a\hat{\gamma}_n \tag{16} \]

where \( \hat{\gamma}_n \) is the coefficient vector of the \( n \)-th REW magnitude function representation:

\[ \hat{\gamma}_n = [\hat{\gamma}_n(1), \hat{\gamma}_n(2), \ldots, \hat{\gamma}_n(M)] \tag{17} \]

In this case, the distortion may be interpolated by:

\[ D[R(\hat{\omega}, \xi)] = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \left| R(\omega) - (1-a)\hat{R}(\omega, \xi_{n-1}) - a\hat{R}(\omega, \xi_n) \right|^2 d\omega \tag{18} \]

\[ = \frac{1}{\pi} \left\| R(\omega) - (1-a)\gamma_{n-1} - a\gamma_n \right\|^2 \]

The above can be easily generalized to the parameter VQ case. The optimal interpolation factor that minimizes the distortion between two representation vectors is given by:

\[ a = \frac{\left\| \gamma_n - \gamma_{n-1} \right\|^2}{\left\| \gamma_n - \gamma_{n-1} \right\|^2} \tag{19} \]

and the respective optimal parameter value, which is a continuous variable between zero and one, is given by:

\[ \tilde{\xi}(\omega) = (1-a_{opt})\xi_{n-1} + a_{opt}\xi_n \tag{20} \]
This result allows a rapid search for the best unvoicing parameter value needed to transform the coefficient vector to a scalar parameter, followed by the corresponding quantization scheme, as described in the section 4.

C. Weighted Distortion Quantization

Commonly in speech coding, the magnitude is quantized using weighted distortion measure. In this case the quantizedREW parameter is then given by:

\[
\hat{\xi} = \arg\min_{\xi} \int \left| W(\omega) - \tilde{R}(\omega, \xi) \right|^2 W(\omega) d\omega
\]

and the orthonormal function simplification, given in equation (13), cannot be used. In this case, the weighted distortion between the input and the parametric representation modeled spectra is equal to:

\[
D_\omega (R, \tilde{R}(\xi)) = \int \left| W(\omega) - \tilde{R}(\omega, \xi) \right|^2 W(\omega) d\omega
\]

\[
= (\gamma - \bar{\gamma}(\xi))^T \Psi W(\omega)(\gamma - \bar{\gamma}(\xi))
\]

where \(\Psi(W(\omega))\) is the weighted correlation matrix of the orthonormal functions, its elements are:

\[
\Psi_{ij}(W(\omega)) = \int W(\omega) \bar{\eta}_i(\omega) \bar{\eta}_j(\omega) d\omega.
\]

\(\gamma\) is the input coefficient vectors, and \(\bar{\gamma}(\xi)\) is the modeled parametric coefficient vector. In VQ case, the quantized parameter vector is given by:

\[
\hat{\xi} = \arg\min_{\xi} \sum_{n=0}^{N} D_\omega (R_n, \tilde{R}(\xi))
\]

\[
= \arg\min_{\xi} \left( \sum_{n=0}^{N} (\gamma_n - \bar{\gamma}(\xi)) \Psi W(\omega)(\gamma_n - \bar{\gamma}(\xi)) \right)
\]

D. Weighted Distortion—Piecewise Linear Parametric Representation

Again, for practical considerations assume that the parametric representation is piecewise linear, and may be represented by a set of \(N\) spectra, \(\{R_n(\omega)\}_{n=0}^{N}\). For the piecewise linear representation, the interpolated quantized coefficient vector is:

\[
\bar{\gamma}(\xi) = (1 - \alpha)\bar{\gamma}_{n-1} + \alpha \bar{\gamma}_n, \quad \hat{\xi} = \hat{\xi}_n \leq \hat{\xi} \leq \hat{\xi}_{n+1}, \quad \alpha = \frac{\hat{\xi} - \hat{\xi}_{n-1}}{\hat{\xi}_n - \hat{\xi}_{n-1}}
\]

In the case where parameter VQ is employed, the interpolation allows for a substantial simplification of the search computations. In this case, the distortion can be interpolated:

\[
D_\omega (R, \tilde{R}(\xi)) = \int (\gamma - (1 - \alpha)\bar{\gamma}_{n-1} - \alpha \bar{\gamma}_n)^T \Psi W(\omega)(\gamma - (1 - \alpha)\bar{\gamma}_{n-1} - \alpha \bar{\gamma}_n) d\omega + \alpha \bar{\gamma}_n)^T \Psi W(\omega)(\gamma - \bar{\gamma}_n) d\omega + \alpha \bar{\gamma}_n)^T \Psi W(\omega)(\gamma - \bar{\gamma}_n) d\omega
\]

Note that no benefit is obtained here by using orthonormal functions, therefore any function representation may be used. The above can be easily generalized to the parameter VQ case. The optimal parameter that minimizes the spectrally weighted distortion between two representation vectors is given by:

\[
\alpha_{opt} = \left( \frac{\bar{\gamma}_n - \bar{\gamma}_{n-1}}{\gamma_n - \bar{\gamma}_{n-1}} \right)^T \Psi (\gamma - \bar{\gamma}_{n-1})
\]

and the respective optimal parameter value, which is a continuous variable between zero and one, is given by equation (20). This result allows a rapid search for the best unvoicing parameter value needed to transform the coefficient vector to a scalar parameter, for encoding or for VQ design. Alternatively, in order to eliminate using the matrix \(\Psi\), the scalar product may be defined to incorporate the time-varying spectral weighting. The respective orthonormal basis functions then satisfy:

\[
\int_0^\infty W(\omega) \bar{\eta}_i(\omega) \bar{\eta}_j(\omega) d\omega = \delta(i - j)
\]

where \(\delta(i - j)\) denotes Kronecker delta. The respective parameter vector is given by:

\[
\gamma = \int_0^\infty W(\omega) \bar{\gamma}(\omega) d\omega
\]

where \(\bar{\gamma}(\omega) = [\bar{\gamma}_0, \bar{\gamma}_1, \ldots, \bar{\gamma}_M]^T\) is an \(I\)-th dimensional vector of time-varying orthonormal functions.

REW Parameter Analysis-By-Synthesis VQ

This section presents the AbS VQ paradigm for the REW parameter. The first presentation is a system which quantizes the REW parameter by employing spectral based AbS. Then simplified systems, which apply AbS to the REW parameter, are presented.

A. REW Parameter Quantization by Magnitude AbS VQ

The novel Analysis-by-Synthesis (AbS) REW parameter VQ technique is illustrated in FIG. 3. An excitation vector \(\bar{\xi}(m)\) \((m=1, \ldots , M)\) is selected from the VQ codebook and is fed through a synthesis filter to obtain a parameter vector \(\bar{\gamma}(m)\) (synthesized quantized) which is then mapped to quantized a representation coefficient vectors \(\bar{\gamma}(m)\). This is compared with a set of input representation coefficient vectors \(\gamma(m)\) and each is spectrally weighted. Each spectrally weighted error is then temporally weighted, and a distortion measure is obtained. A search through all candidate excitation vectors determines an optimal choice. The synthesis filter in FIG. 3 can be viewed as a first order predictor in a feedback loop. (While shown here is an auto-regressive synthesis filter, in other arrangements moving-average (MA) synthesis filter may be used.) By allowing the value of the predictor parameter \(P\) to change, it becomes a “switched-predictor” scheme. Switched-prediction is introduced to allow for different levels of REW parameter correlation.

The scheme incorporates both spectral weighting and temporal weighting. The spectral weighting is used for the distortion between each pair of input and the quantized
spectra. In order to improve SEW/REW mixing, particularly in mixed voiced and unvoiced speech segments, and to increase speech crispness, especially for plosives and onsets, temporal weighting is incorporated in the AbS REW VQ. The temporal weighting is a monotonically increasing function of the temporal gain. Two codebooks are used, and each codebook has an associated predictor coefficient, \( P_1 \) and \( P_2 \). The quantization target is an M-dimensional vector of REW spectra. Each REW spectrum is represented by a vector of basis function coefficients denoted by \( \gamma(m) \). The search for the minimal WMSE is performed over all the vectors, \( c_{(m)} \), of the two codebooks for \( i=1, 2 \). The quantized REW function coefficients vector, \( \gamma(\hat{\xi}(m)) \), is a function of the quantized parameter \( \hat{\xi}(m) \), which is obtained by passing the quantized vector, \( c_{(m)} \), through the synthesis filter. The weighted distortion between each pair of input and quantized REW spectra is calculated. The total distortion is computed recursively by:

\[
\hat{\xi}(k) = P_k \hat{\xi}(k-1) + \xi(k)
\]

where \( k \) is the time index of the coded waveform.

**B. Simplified REW Parameter AbS VQ**

The above scheme maps each quantized parameter to a coefficient vector, which is used to compute the spectral distortion. To reduce complexity, such mapping, and spectral distortion computation, which contribute to the complexity of the scheme, may be eliminated by using the simplified scheme described below. For a high rate, and a smooth representation surface \( \hat{R}(\omega, \hat{\xi}) \), the total distortion is equal to the sum of modeling distortion and quantization distortion:

\[
\sum_{m=1}^{M} D_m(\hat{R}(\xi(m)), \hat{\xi}(\xi(m))) = \sum_{m=1}^{M} D_m(\hat{R}(\xi(m)), \hat{\xi}(\xi(m))) + \sum_{m=1}^{M} D_m(\hat{R}(\xi(m)), \hat{\xi}(\xi(m)))
\]

which is linearly related to the REW parameter squared quantization error, \( (\xi(m) - \hat{\xi}(m))^2 \) and, therefore, justifies direct VQ of the REW parameter.

**B.1. Simplified REW Parameter AbS VQ—Non Weighted Distortion**

FIG. 4 illustrates a simplified AbS VQ for the REW parametric representation. The encoder maps the REW magnitude to an unvoicing REW parameter, and then quantizes the parameter by AbS VQ. Initially, the magnitudes of the M REWs in the frame are mapped to coefficient vectors, \( \{\gamma(m)\}_{m=1}^{M} \). Then, for each coefficient vector, a search is performed to find the optimal representation parameter, \( \hat{\xi}(\gamma) \), using equation (20), to form an M-dimensional parameter vector for the current frame, \( \{\hat{\xi}(\gamma(m))\}_{m=1}^{M} \). Finally, the parameter vector is encoded by AbS VQ. The decoded spectra, \( \{\hat{R}(\omega, \hat{\xi}(m))\}_{m=1}^{M} \), are obtained from the quantized parameter vector, \( \{\hat{\xi}(m)\}_{m=1}^{M} \), using equation (15). This scheme allows for higher temporal, as well as spectral REW resolution, compared to the common method described in W. B. Kleijn, et al, **IEEE ICASSP'95**, pp. 508–511 (1995), since no downsampling is performed, and the continuous parameter is vector quantized in AbS.

**B.2. Simplified REW Parameter AbS VQ—Weighted Distortion**

The simplified quantization scheme is improved to incorporate spectral and temporal weightings, as illustrated in FIG. 5. The REW parameter vector is first mapped to an REW parameter by minimizing a distortion, which is weighted by the coefficient spectral weighting matrix \( \Psi \), as described in section 3.3. Then, the resulted REW parameter is used to compute a weighting, \( w(\xi(m)) \), which we choose to be the spectral sensitivity to the REW parameter squared quantization error, \( (\xi(m) - \hat{\xi}(m))^2 \), given by:

\[
w_{\xi}(\xi(m)) = \left( \frac{\partial y^\top}{\partial \xi} \right)_{\{\xi(\xi(m))\}}
\]

For the piecewise linear representation case, using equation (33), the following equation is obtained:

\[
w_{\xi}(\xi(m)) = \left( \frac{\partial y^\top}{\partial \xi} \right)_{\{\xi(\xi(m))\}} = \frac{1}{\Delta}(\hat{y}_{\xi}(\xi(m)) - \hat{y}_{\xi-1}(\xi(m)))\Psi(\xi(m))\hat{y}_{\xi}(\xi(m)) - \hat{y}_{\xi-1}(\xi(m))
\]

The above derivative can be easily computed off line. Additionally, a temporal weighting, in form of monotonically function of the gain, denoted by \( w_{\xi}(\xi(m)) \), is used to give relatively large weight to waveforms with larger gain values.
The AbS REW parameter quantization is computed by minimizing the combined spectrally and temporally weighted distortion:

$$D(\hat{\tilde{y}}_{\text{m}}, \tilde{y}_{\text{m}}) = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{N} w(n) \| \tilde{y}(m) - \hat{\tilde{y}}(m) \| \right)^2$$

The weighted distortion scheme improves the reconstructed speech quality, most notably in mixed voiced and unvoiced speech segments. This may be explained by an improvement in REW/SEW mixing.

**Dual Predictive AbS SEW Quantization**

Fig. 6 illustrates a Dual Predictive SEW AbS VQ scheme which uses two observables, (a) the quantized REW, and (b) the past quantized SEW, to jointly predict the current SEW. Although we refer to the operator on each observable as a “predictor”, in fact both are components of a single optimized estimator. The SEW and the REW are complex random vectors, and their sum is a residual vector having elements whose magnitudes have a mean value of unity. In low bit-rate WI coding, the relation between the SEW and the REW was approximated by computing the magnitude of one as the unity complement of the other. Suppose $\tilde{y}_{\text{m}}$ denotes the spectral magnitude vector of the last quantized REW in the current frame. An “implied” SEW vector, is calculated by:

$$\tilde{y}_{\text{m}} = \tilde{y}_{\text{m}} - \frac{1}{p_{\text{m}}}$$

and from which the mean vector is removed. Vectors whose means are removed are denoted with an apostrophe. Then, a (mean-removed) estimated “implied” SEW magnitude vector, $\tilde{y}_{\text{m}}^{\text{implied}}$, is computed using a diagonal estimation matrix $P_{\text{REW}}$:

$$\tilde{y}_{\text{m}}^{\text{implied}} = P_{\text{REW}} \tilde{y}_{\text{m}}$$

Additionally, a “self-predicted” SEW vector is computed by multiplying the delayed quantized SEW vector, $\tilde{y}_{\text{m}}$, by a diagonal prediction matrix $P_{\text{SEW}}$. The predicted (mean-removed) SEW vector, $\tilde{y}_{\text{m}}^{\text{implied}}$, is given by:

$$\tilde{y}_{\text{m}}^{\text{implied}} = P_{\text{REW}} \tilde{y}_{\text{m}}$$

The quantized vector, $\tilde{y}_{\text{m}}$, is determined by an AbS search according to:

$$\tilde{y}_{\text{m}} = \arg \min \left\{ W_{\text{SEW}}(\tilde{y}_{\text{m}}) + P_{\text{SEW}}(\tilde{y}_{\text{m}}) \right\}$$

where $W_{\text{M}}$ is the diagonal spectral weighting matrix; see O. Gottesman, (1999), *IEEE ICASSP* 1999, vol. 1:269–272; O. Gottesman and A. Gersho, (1999), *IEEE Speech Coding Workshop*, pp. 90–92, Finland; O. Gottesman and A. Gersho, (1999), *EUROSPEECH* ’99, pp. 1443–1446, Hungary. The mean-quantized SEW magnitude, $\tilde{y}_{\text{m}}$, is the sum of the predicted SEW vector, $\tilde{y}_{\text{m}}$, and the codevector $\tilde{y}_{\text{m}}$:

$$\tilde{y}_{\text{m}} = \tilde{y}_{\text{m}} + \tilde{y}_{\text{m}}$$

In order to exploit the information about the pitch and voice level, the possible pitch range was partitioned into six subintervals, and the REW parameter range into three. Also, eighteen codebooks were generated, one for each pair of pitch range and unvoicing range. Each codebook has associated two mean vectors, and two diagonal prediction matrices. To improve the coder smoothness, the cluster used for the training of each codebook overlaps with those of the codebooks for neighboring ranges. Since each quantized target vector may have a different value of the removed mean, the quantized mean is added temporarily to the filter memory after the state update, and the next quantized vector’s mean is subtracted from it before filtering is performed.

The output weighted SNR, and the mean-removed weighted SNR, of the scheme are illustrated in Fig. 7. Evidently, a very high SNR is achieved with a relatively small number of bits. The weighted SNR of each codebook, for the 9-bit case, is illustrated in Fig. 8. The differences in SNR between three REW parameter ranges is dominated by the different means. The respective mean-removed weighted SNR of each codebook is illustrated in Fig. 9. Within each voice range the differences in SNR between each pitch range are mainly due to the number of bit per vector sample, which decreases as the number of harmonics increases, and to the prediction gain.

Examples for the two predictors for three REW parameter ranges are illustrated in Fig. 10. For voiced segments the SEW predictor is dominant, whereas the REW predictor is less important since its input variations in this range are very small. As the voice decreases, the SEW predictor decreases, and the SEW predictor becomes more dominant at the lower part of the spectrum. Both predictors decrease as the voice decreases from the intermediate range to the unvoiced range.

**Bit Allocation**

The bit allocation for the 2.8 kbps EWI coder is given in Table 1. The frame length is 20 ms, and ten waveforms are extracted per frame. The line spectral frequencies (LSFs) are coded using predictive MSVQ, having two stages of 10 bit each, a 2-bit increase compared to the past version of our code; see O. Gottesman and A. Gersho, (1999), *IEEE Speech Coding Workshop*, pp. 90–92, Finland; O. Gottesman and A. Gersho, (1999), *EUROSPEECH* ’99, pp. 1443–1446, Hungary. The 10-th dimensional log-gain vector is quantized using 9 bit AbS VQ. The pitch is coded twice per frame. A fixed SEW phase was trained for each of the one of the eighteen pitch-voicing ranges; see O. Gottesman, (1999), *IEEE ICASSP* ’99, vol. 1:269–272.

**TABLE 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Bits/Frame</th>
<th>Bits/second</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LPC</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitch</td>
<td>2 x 6 = 12</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gain</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEW magnitude</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REW magnitude</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Subjective Results**

A subjective A/B test was conducted to compare the 2.8 kbps EWI coder of this invention to G.723.1. The test data included 24 modified intermediate reference system (M-IRS) filtered speech sentences, 12 of which are of female speakers, and 12 of male speakers; see ITU-T, (1996), “Recommendation P.830, Subjective Performance Assessment of Telephone Band and Wideband Digital Coders”, Annex D. ITU, Geneva. Twelve listeners participated in the test. The test results, listed in Table 2 and Table 3, indicate that the subjective quality of the 2.8 kbps EWI exceeds that of G.723.1 at 5.3 kbps, and it is slightly better than that of G.723.1 at 6.3 kbps. The EWI preference is higher for male than for female speakers.
Table 2 shows the results of subjective A/B test for comparison between the 2.8 kbps EWI coder to 5.3 kbps G.723.1. With 95% certainty the result lies within +/-5.53%.

Table 3 shows the results of subjective A/B test for comparison between the 2.8 kbps EWI coder to 6.3 kbps G.723.1. With 95% certainty the result lies within +/-5.59%.

It should, of course, be noted that while the present invention has been described in terms of an illustrative embodiment, other arrangements will be apparent to those of ordinary skills in the art. For example:

1. While in the disclosed embodiment in FIG. 3 have described auto-regressive (AR) synthesis filter, in other arrangements moving-average (MA) filter may be used.
2. While in the disclosed embodiment was related to waveform interpolative speech coding, in other arrangements it may be used in other coding schemes.
3. While in the disclosed embodiment temporal weighting, and/or spectral weighting are described, they are optional, and in other arrangements any or both of them may not be used.
4. While in the disclosed embodiment switch prediction having two predictors is described, in other arrangements no switch, or more than two predictor choice may be used.
5. While in the disclosed embodiment illustrated in FIG. 6 mean vectors are subtracted from the vector, this may be viewed as optional, and in other arrangements any or all of such mean vectors may not be used.
6. While in the disclosed embodiment the pitch range and/or the voicing parameter values were partitioned into subranges, and codebooks were used for each subrange, this may be viewed as optional, and in other arrangements any or all of such subranges may not be used, or other number or type of subranges may be used.
7. While in the disclosed embodiment describes prediction matrices were diagonal, in other arrangements non diagonal prediction matrices may be used.


The Invention claimed is:
1. A method for interpolative coding input signals, said signals decomposed into or composed of a slowly evolving waveform and a rapidly evolving waveform having a magnitude, the method incorporating at least one of the following steps:
   (a) analysis-by-synthesis vector quantization of the rapidly evolving waveform parameter;
   (b) parametrizing the magnitude of the rapidly evolving waveform;
   (c) incorporating temporal weighting in the ABS VQ of the REW; or
   (d) incorporating spectral weighting in the ABS VQ of the REW,
the method either (1) applying a filter to a vector quantizer codebook in the analysis-by-synthesis vector-quantization of the rapidly evolving waveform whereby to add self correlation to the codebook vectors or (2) using a coder in which a plurality of bits therein are allocated to the rapidly evolving waveform magnitude.

2. The method of claim 1 further comprising analysis-by-synthesis vector quantization of the slowly evolving waveform.

3. The method of claim 1 wherein said signal is speech.

4. The method of claim 1 wherein said method incorporates each of steps (a) through (c).

5. A method for interpolative coding input signals, said signals decomposed into or composed of a slowly evolving waveform and a rapidly evolving waveform having a magnitude, comprising:
   (a) analysis-by-synthesis vector quantization of the rapidly evolving waveform parameter;
   (b) analysis-by-synthesis quantization of the slowly evolving waveform;
   (c) parametrizing the magnitude of the rapidly evolving waveform;
   (d) incorporating temporal weighting in the analysis-by-synthesis vector quantization of the rapidly evolving waveform; and
   (e) incorporating spectral weighting in the analysis-by-synthesis vector quantization of the rapidly evolving waveform

the method either (1) applying a filter to a vector quantizer codebook in the analysis-by-synthesis vector-quantization of the rapidly evolving waveform whereby to add self correlation to the codebook vectors or (2) using a coder in which a plurality of bits therein are allocated to the rapidly evolving waveform magnitude.

6. The method of claim 5 in which in the step of analysis-by-synthesis of a first vector-quantization of the slowly evolving waveform is predicted based on the vector quantization of the rapidly evolving waveform and a second vector quantization of the slowly evolving waveform.

7. A method for interpolative coding input signals, said signals decomposed into or composed of a rapidly evolving waveform, comprising incorporating analysis-by-synthesis vector quantization of the rapidly evolving waveform parameter, the method either (1) applying a filter to a vector quantizer codebook in the analysis-by-synthesis vector-quantization of the rapidly evolving waveform whereby to add self correlation to the codebook vectors or (2) using a coder in which a plurality of bits therein are allocated to the rapidly evolving waveform magnitude.

8. A speech coding system using waveform interpolation comprising at least one of the following steps:
   (a) analysis-by-synthesis vector quantization of a rapidly evolving waveform parameter;
   (b) parametrizing a magnitude of a rapidly evolving waveform;
   (c) incorporating temporal weighting in the AbS VQ of the REW; or
   (d) incorporating spectral weighting in the AbS VQ of the REW;

the method either (1) applying a filter to a vector quantizer codebook in the analysis-by-synthesis vector-quantization of the rapidly evolving waveform whereby to add self correlation to the codebook vectors or (2) using a coder in which a plurality of bits therein are allocated to the rapidly evolving waveform magnitude.

* * * * *